

M3D-C1 ZOOM Meeting

02/22/2021

CS Issues

1. stellar.princeton.edu allowing early users
2. GPU solve and memory utilization status
3. Mesh adaptation update
4. Local and other systems
5. NERSC Time
6. Changes to github master since last meeting

Physics Studies

1. Progress in Lyons 3D MHD-C1/NIMROD mitigation benchmark
2. Carbon Mitigation in NSTX-U (shell pellet)
3. Helical Band to remove runaway electrons
4. RE Benchmark with JOREK .. Chen Zhao
5. Update on NSTX shot 1224040..Chang Liu
6. M3D-C1 small pellet ablation modeling .. Brendan Lyons
7. Other?

stellar.Princeton.edu allowing early users

Brendan Lyons: Will Globus be available?

- Adelle Wright requested bbcp multi-stream data transfer. Available on eddy. Prentice installed it on portal but there are firewall issues.
- No /scratch filesystem...should be available in March
- 100GB limit in /home directory
- Code often hangs
- Runs typically 30% - 50% faster than eddy

S. Jardin 02/15/21:

- I was able to compile all versions using Jin's README/stellar instructions
- I also ran regression tests: all passed except "adapt"
 - MALLOC(): UNSORTED DOURBL LINKED LIST CORRUPTED

GPU Solve status

- GPUs give little or no speedup on solves for small problem size
- Larger problem sizes run out of memory
- What is using all the memory???

Jin Chen email 2/2/21:

Memory Utilized: 16.27 GB (estimated maximum)

While matrices only took less than 4GB:

Matrix	118	57	3704181940	0.
Vector	820	151	5383208	0.
Krylov Solver	22	8	3198432	0.

Mesh adaptation update

- Brendan ?
- Seegyoung? Usman?

Local Systems


- PPPL centos7(02/22/21)
 - 6 regression tests PASSED on centos7:
- PPPL greene (02/15/21)
 - 4 regression tests PASSED
 - RMP_nonlin timed out (but gave correct results)
 - No batch file found for pellet
- EDDY (2/15/21)
 - 6 regression tests PASSED
- TRAVERSE(1/4/21)
 - Code compiles
 - Regression test failed: split_smb not found in PATH
 - Have not yet tried shipping .smb files from another machine

Other Systems

- Cori-KNL (2/08/2021)
 - 6 regression tests passed on KNL
- Cori-Haswell (2/08/2021)
 - 5 regression tests passed
 - KPRAD_RESTART did not pass, but differences are very small in velocity variables. All magnetic and thermal good. Similar difference as Cori-KNL
 - RMP_nonlin initially failed ...: There was an error in partitioning the mesh, but passed on resubmission
- PERSEUS
 - All 6 regression tests PASSED on perseus (J. Chen, 9/04/20)
- MARCONI
 - All regression tests PASSED on MARCONI (J. Chen, 9/04/20)
- CORI GPU (10/26)
 - ??

NERSC Time

mp288



m3163



Closed for general use

- mp288 received 10M Hrs for CY 2021
- We will exhaust this by the end of March at this rate. (May get more time)
- Transition to stellar (PU/PPPL)
- I plan to not start any new jobs on Cori

Changes to github master since last meeting

- J. Chen
 - 02/15/21: stellar porting
- B. Lyons
 - 02/15/21: Change NERSC filesystem name per NERSC instructions
 - 02/16/21: Prevent one pellet that stops ablating from turning off other pellets
 - 02/16/21: Same bug fix ... cycle, not continue


16 vs 32 planes convergence test (Lyons “Case f”)



32 plane case goes stochastic at $t \sim 2.2\text{ms}$, very close to that of the 16 & 8 plane cases


I am done with this case. Sent summary to Lyons.
Files in /global/cscratch1/sd/u431/BLH32f

Carbon Mitigation in NSTX-U (shell pellet)



Shell carbon pellet in NSTX (now running)


Radiation
 $t = 0.73$ ms




Trying to keep radiation “hot spots” from forming and causing crash by decreasing dt as necessary. Setback by corrupted C1.h5 file.

Helical Band to remove runaway electrons

- Brendan Lyons performed a calculation last year with a conducting helical band that did not show large helical currents
- Want to try and reproduce, first in circular cylindrical geometry.



Circular cylindrical geometry.
Conductor in region $b < r < c$



3D helical band of good conductivity at $|\Theta - \Phi| < \delta$

#1. Will a purely toroidal voltage from the plasma current decaying drive a helical current in this geometry?

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi + \frac{V_L}{2\pi} \nabla \phi$$


$$\mathbf{J} = \sigma \mathbf{E}$$

What is driving the current in the θ direction? It can't be Φ unless


$$\int_0^{2\pi} \sigma^{-1} J_\theta d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\Phi}{d\theta} d\theta = 0$$

Comparison between Straight and helical band

Straight →



Helical →





$$\varphi = 0$$

$$\varphi = \pi / 2$$

$$\varphi = \pi$$

$$\varphi = 3\pi / 2$$


Some Convergence Tests




- Wall current appears to be converged in # of planes
- Helical wall current tending towards zero for large values of insulator resistance
- Now testing dependence on boundary conditions (location of ideal wall)
- Helical (1,2) case gives less than half the current of helical (1,1) case
- Iconst_bz=0 increases current, but still far below straight case

Plots for iconst_bz=0


I



$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \varphi}$



J_φ



$$\nabla_\perp \cdot \frac{1}{R^2} \nabla \Phi = \nabla_\perp \cdot \eta \left[-\frac{1}{R^2} \nabla F \times \nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{R^2} \nabla f'' \times \nabla \varphi - \frac{1}{R^4} \nabla_\perp \psi' \right]$$

RE Benchmark with JOREK

Chang Liu proposed to V. Bandaru and M. Hoelzl on 2/1/21:
V. Bandaru responded on 2/2/21 with 4 profile files and additional data. Has Chen been able to set up equilibrium?

Artificial Thermal Quench with Dreicer and avalanche sources

V. BANDARU *et al.*

PHYSICAL REVIEW E **99**, 063317 (2019)










FIG. 3. (a) Time evolution of the total plasma current I and the RE current I_r during the current quench phase. (b) Midplane current density profiles before and after the current quench obtained from JOEREK, showing a relatively peaked RE current profile.

Chen Zhao



Comparison of initial profiles





Comparison of T(R) at several times with no runaways




Comparison of E_{par}



Initial results with Runaways (Chen)



More recent results (Chen)



Chen email 1/11/21

kappat

0 – 0.5 ms 10^{-4} Te drops to 600 ev



0.5 – 17.6 ms 10^{-7}

17.6 – 60 ms 1.5×10^{-5}

Have you verified with Bandau?

Can we do case with only Dreicer source?

Update on NSTX shot 1224020 – Fast ion transport with coupled kink and tearing modes (Chang Liu)



Non-resonant (1,1) mode in center
with $q(0) > 1$ and low central shear



M3D-C1 Small-Pellet-Ablation Modeling

Brendan Lyons


That's All I have

Anything Else ?





2D (cylindrical) RE with sources (12/19/2020)



Energy in base case 36742317 (solid) and 16 plane case 37248033 (dashed)





DIII-D 177053 with Argon



Chen Zhao

Same calculation in a Cylinder

M3D-C1 runaway generation with cylinder geometry



- Parameters:
$$\beta_0 = 0.15$$
$$a = 0.65m$$
$$R = 1.7m$$
$$B_0 = 1.9T$$
$$\eta = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$$
$$n_0 = 1.0 \times 10^{20} m^{-3}$$
$$c = 150v_A$$
$$N_{elements} = 12261$$
$$\Delta t = 1.0\tau_A$$

- The plasma current was equal with plasma current by the runaway current at about 12ms.
- The radial profile of runaway current profile are exactly same when the plasma current equal to runaway current.

Progress on other shots?





- M3D-C1/NIMROD 3D Benchmark

NSTX shot 1224020 – Fast ion transport with coupled kink and tearing modes
Chang Liu

DIII-D Neon pellet mitigation simulation for KORC


- Brendan Lyons trying to extend 8 plane case to 32 planes

SPARK ? Do we need to do anything?



NSTX shot 1224020 – Fast ion transport with coupled kink and tearing modes

Chang Liu




- In the original geqdsk file, the equilibrium was poorly converged. New one is much better. Has $q(0) = 1.3$
 - Chang has analyzed new equilibrium (left)
 - No ideal (1,1) mode, several tearing modes
-
- If goal is to get unstable (1,1) mode, likely need to lower $q(0)$
 - Adding sheared toroidal rotation should help stabilize resistive modes.


Grad-B drift in M3D-C1—HF side

Request to calculate grad-B drift in M3D-C1 and to compare with that being put into the LP Code


(a) Density source in 1F toroidal equilibrium




(b) Change in density after $10^3 \tau_A$



(c) Poloidal velocity stream function




(d) Toroidal velocity contours




Grad-B drift in M3D-C1– LF source

Request to calculate grad-B drift in M3D-C1 and to compare with that being put into the LP Code


(a) Density source in 1F toroidal equilibrium




(b) Change in density after $10^3 \tau_A$



(c) Poloidal velocity stream function




(d) Toroidal velocity contours




Grad-B drift in M3D-C1—2F effects


(a) 2F density change
after $10^3 \tau_A$ for LF
side source




(b) Difference in 1F and
2F density (LF)




(c) 2F density change
after $10^3 \tau_A$ for HF
side source



(d) Difference in 1F and
2F density (HF)



Sawtoothing discharge with runaway electrons



Profiles of nre, jy, and E_par after 30 timesteps

Original: /p/tsc/m3dnl/Isabel/Chen2D

Mod: /p/tsc/m3dnl/Isabel/Chen2D-mod1

Changed:

mesh size

“regular”

“integration points”

ipres=1

cre


pedge

viscosity

denm

equilibrium density

Longer times develops oscillations



- Short wavelength oscillations occur first in nre and then in other quantities (jy, e_par)
- Could we add some smoothing?